	Case 2:22-cv-01681-WBS-KJN Docume	ent 7 Filed 11/29/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRISTOPHER P. WATSON,	No. 2:22-cv-1681 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	SACRAMENTO COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
1516	Defendants.	
17	By order filed October 21, 2022, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave	
18	to file an amended complaint was granted. Thirty days from that date have now passed, and	
19	plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
20 21	In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is	
22	directed to assign a district judge to this case; and IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule	
23		
24	110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
27	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
28	with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
		1

"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: November 28, 2022 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE /wats1681.fta

Case 2:22-cv-01681-WBS-KJN Document 7 Filed 11/29/22 Page 2 of 2